



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/707,575

12/22/2003

Barry G. GOMBERT

117438

1574

27074 7590 05/07/2008

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC.

P.O. BOX 320850

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

WHIPPLE, BRIAN P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2152

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/07/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com

jarmstrong@oliff.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/707,575	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GOMBERT, BARRY G.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Brian P. Whipple	<b>Art Unit</b> 2152	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Brian P. Whipple. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Aashish R. Karkhanis. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Aashish Karkhanis called to check if Examiner had received after-final submission. Examiner confirmed that he received the submission in April. Mr. Karkhanis requested to know if Examiner had worked on the case. Examiner stated that he had in fact completed the advisory action and it should be in the mail. Mailing date was improperly indicated as 5/5/08 in the file wrapper, but the advisory action should arrive very soon. Examiner stated.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/  
SPE, AU 2152

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required